

# ON $\Lambda$ -PACKINGS IN CLAW-FREE GRAPHS

Alexander K. Kelmans <sup>a</sup>

RRR 24-2007, AUGUST 2007

RUTCOR  
Rutgers Center for  
Operations Research  
Rutgers University  
640 Bartholomew Road  
Piscataway, New Jersey  
08854-8003  
Telephone: 732-445-3804  
Telefax: 732-445-5472  
Email: [rrr@rutcor.rutgers.edu](mailto:rrr@rutcor.rutgers.edu)  
<http://rutcor.rutgers.edu/~rrr>

---

<sup>a</sup>RUTCOR, Rutgers University, P.O. Box 5062, New Brunswick, NJ 08902-5062, and Department of Mathematics, University of Puerto Rico, P.O. Box 23355, San Juan, PR 00931-3355, Email: [kelmans@rutcor.rutgers.edu](mailto:kelmans@rutcor.rutgers.edu)

RUTCOR RESEARCH REPORT

RRR 24-2007, AUGUST 2007

# ON $\Lambda$ -PACKINGS IN CLAW-FREE GRAPHS

Alexander K. Kelmans

**Abstract.** A  $\Lambda$ -factor of a graph  $G$  is a spanning subgraph of  $G$  whose every component is a 3-vertex path. Let  $v(G)$  be the number of vertices of  $G$ . A graph is *claw-free* if it does not have a subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{1,3}$ . Our results include the following. Let  $G$  be a 3-connected claw-free graph,  $x \in V(G)$ ,  $e = xy \in E(G)$ , and  $L$  a 3-vertex path in  $G$ . Then (c1) if  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 3$ , then  $G$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor containing (avoiding)  $e$ , (c2) if  $v(G) = 1 \pmod 3$ , then  $G - x$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor, (c3) if  $v(G) = 2 \pmod 3$ , then  $G - \{x, y\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor, (c4) if  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 3$  and  $G$  is either cubic or 4-connected, then  $G - L$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor, and (c5) if  $G$  is cubic and  $E$  is a set of three edges in  $G$ , then  $G - E$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor if and only if the subgraph induced by  $E$  in  $G$  is not a claw and not a triangle.

**Keywords:** claw-free graph, cubic graph,  $\Lambda$ -packing,  $\Lambda$ -factor.

## 1 Introduction

We consider undirected graphs with no loops and no parallel edges. All notions and facts on graphs, that are used but not described here, can be found in [1, 2, 11].

Given graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , an  $H$ -packing of  $G$  is a subgraph of  $G$  whose every component is isomorphic to  $H$ . An  $H$ -packing  $P$  of  $G$  is called an  $H$ -factor if  $V(P) = V(G)$ . The  $H$ -packing problem, i.e. the problem of finding in  $G$  an  $H$ -packing, having the maximum number of vertices, turns out to be  $NP$ -hard if  $H$  is a connected graph with at least three vertices [3]. Let  $\Lambda$  denote a 3-vertex path. In particular, the  $\Lambda$ -packing problem is  $NP$ -hard and remains  $NP$ -hard even for cubic graphs [6].

Although the  $\Lambda$ -packing problem is  $NP$ -hard, i.e. possibly intractable in general, this problem turns out to be tractable for some natural classes of graphs (see, for example, **1.9** below). It would be also interesting to find polynomial-time algorithms that would provide a good approximation solution for the problem (e.g. **1.1** and **1.10** below). In each case the corresponding packing problem is polynomially solvable.

Let  $v(G)$  and  $\lambda(G)$  denote the number of vertices and the maximum number of disjoint 3-vertex paths in  $G$ , respectively. Obviously  $\lambda(G) \leq \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor$ .

In [5, 10] we answered the following natural question:

*How many disjoint 3-vertex paths must a cubic  $n$ -vertex graph have?*

**1.1** *If  $G$  is a cubic graph, then  $\lambda(G) \geq \lceil v(G)/4 \rceil$ . Moreover, there is a polynomial time algorithm for finding a  $\Lambda$ -packing having at least  $\lceil v(G)/4 \rceil$  components.*

Obviously if every component of  $G$  is  $K_4$ , then  $\lambda(G) = v(G)/4$ . Therefore the bound in **1.1** is sharp.

Let  $\mathcal{G}_2^3$  denote the set of graphs with each vertex of degree 2 or 3. In [5] we answered (in particular) the following question:

*How many disjoint 3-vertex paths must an  $n$ -vertex graph from  $\mathcal{G}_2^3$  have?*

**1.2** *Suppose that  $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^3$  and  $G$  has no 5-vertex components. Then  $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$ .*

Obviously **1.1** follows from **1.2** because if  $G$  is a cubic graph, then  $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^3$  and  $G$  has no 5-vertex components.

In [5] we also gave a construction that allowed to prove the following:

**1.3** *There are infinitely many connected graphs for which the bound in **1.2** is attained. Moreover, there are infinitely many subdivisions of cubic 3-connected graphs for which the bound in **1.2** is attained.*

The next interesting question is:

*How many disjoint 3-vertex paths must a cubic connected graph have?*

In [7] we proved the following. Let  $\mathcal{C}_n$  denote the set of connected cubic graphs with  $n$  vertices.

**1.4** Let  $\lambda_n = \min\{\lambda(G)/v(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}_n\}$ . Then for some  $c > 0$ ,

$$\frac{3}{11}\left(1 - \frac{c}{n}\right) \leq \lambda_n \leq \frac{3}{11}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

The similar question for cubic 2-connected graphs is still open:

**1.5 Problem** *How many disjoint 3-vertex paths must a cubic 2-connected graph have?*

There are infinitely many 2-connected and cubic graphs such that  $\lambda(G) < \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor$ . The questions arise whether the same is true for cubic 2-connected graphs having some additional properties. For example,

**1.6 Problem** *Is  $\lambda(G) = \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor$  true for every 2-connected, cubic, bipartite, and planar graph ?*

In [8] we answered the question in **1.6** by giving a construction that provides infinitely many 2-connected, cubic, bipartite, and planar graphs such that  $\lambda(G) < \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor$ .

As to cubic 3-connected graphs, an old open questions here is:

**1.7 Problem** *Is the following claim true:*

*if  $G$  is a 3-connected and cubic graph, then  $\lambda(G) = \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor$  ?*

In [9] we discuss Problem **1.7** and show, in particular, that the claim in **1.7** is equivalent to some seemingly much stronger claims. Here are some results of this kind.

**1.8** [9] *The following are equivalent for cubic 3-connected graphs  $G$ :*

- (z1)  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 6 \Rightarrow G$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor,
- (z2)  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 6 \Rightarrow$  for every  $e \in E(G)$  there is a  $\Lambda$ -factor of  $G$  avoiding  $e$ ,
- (z3)  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 6 \Rightarrow$  for every  $e \in E(G)$  there is a  $\Lambda$ -factor of  $G$  containing  $e$ ,
- (z4)  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 6 \Rightarrow G - X$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for every  $X \subseteq E(G)$ ,  $|X| = 2$ ,
- (z5)  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 6 \Rightarrow G - L$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for every 3-vertex path  $L$  in  $G$ ,
- (t1)  $v(G) = 2 \pmod 6 \Rightarrow G - \{x, y\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for every  $xy \in E(G)$ ,
- (f1)  $v(G) = 4 \pmod 6 \Rightarrow G - x$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for every  $x \in V(G)$ ,
- (f2)  $v(G) = 4 \pmod 6 \Rightarrow G - \{x, e\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for every  $x \in V(G)$  and  $e \in E(G)$ .

There are some interesting results on the  $\Lambda$ -packing problem for so called claw-free graphs. A graph is called *claw-free* if it contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{1,3}$  (which is called a *claw*).

A vertex  $x$  of a block  $B$  in  $G$  is called a *boundary vertex* of  $B$  if  $x$  belongs to another block of  $G$ . If  $B$  has exactly one boundary vertex, then  $B$  is called an *end-block* of  $G$ . Let  $eb(G)$  denote the number of end-blocks of  $G$ .

**1.9** [4] *Suppose that  $G$  is a claw-free graph and  $G$  is either 2-connected or connected with exactly two end-blocks. Then  $\lambda(G) = \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor$ .*

**1.10** [4] *Suppose that  $G$  is a connected claw-free graph and  $eb(G) \geq 2$ . Then  $\lambda(G) \geq \lfloor (v(G) - eb(G) + 2)/3 \rfloor$ , and this lower bound is sharp.*

Obviously the claim in **1.9** about connected claw-free graphs with exactly two end-blocks follows from **1.10**.

In this paper (see Section 2) we give some more results on the  $\Lambda$ -packings in claw-free graphs. We show, in particular, the following:

(c1) all claims in **1.8** except for (z5) are true for 3-connected claw-free graphs and (z5) is true for cubic, 2-connected, and claw-free graphs distinct from  $K_4$  (see **2.15**),

(c2) if  $G$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph and  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 3$ , then for every edge  $e$  in  $G$  there exists a  $\Lambda$ -factor of  $G$  containing  $e$  (see **2.7**),

(c3) if  $G$  is a 2-connected claw-free graph and  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 3$ , then for every edge  $e$  in  $G$  there exists a  $\Lambda$ -factor of  $G$  avoiding  $e$ , i.e.  $G - e$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor (see **2.8**),

(c4) if  $G$  is a 2-connected claw-free graph and  $v(G) = 1 \pmod 3$ , then  $G - x$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for every vertex  $x$  in  $G$  (see **2.13**),

(c5) if  $G$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph and  $v(G) = 2 \pmod 3$ , then  $G - \{x, y\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for every edge  $xy$  in  $G$  (see **2.3**),

(c6) if  $G$  is a 3-connected, claw-free, and cubic graph with  $v(G) \geq 6$  or a 4-connected claw-free graph, then for every 3-vertex path  $L$  in  $G$  there exists a  $\Lambda$ -factor containing  $L$ , i.e.  $G - L$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor (see **2.1** and **2.6**),

(c7) if  $G$  is a cubic, 3-connected, and claw-free graph with  $v(G) \geq 6$  and  $E$  is a set of three edges in  $G$ , then  $G - E$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor if and only if the subgraph induced by  $E$  in  $G$  is not a claw and not a triangle (see **2.10**),

(c8) if  $G$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph,  $v(G) = 1 \pmod 3$ ,  $x \in V(G)$ , and  $e \in E(G)$ , then  $G - \{x, e\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor (see **2.14**).

## 2 Main results

Theorems **1.9** and **1.10** above describe some properties of maximum  $\Lambda$ -packings in claw-free graphs. In this section we establish some more properties of  $\Lambda$ -packings in claw-free graphs.

Let  $G$  be a graph and  $B$  be a block of  $G$ , and so  $B$  is either 2-connected or consists of two vertices and one edge. As above, a vertex  $x$  of  $B$  is called a *boundary vertex* of  $B$  if  $x$  belongs to another block of  $G$ , and an *inner vertex* of  $B$ , otherwise. If  $B$  has exactly one boundary vertex, then  $B$  is called an *end-block* of  $G$ .

Let  $F$  be a graph,  $x \in V(F)$ , and  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  be the set of vertices in  $F$  adjacent to  $x$ . Let  $T$  be a triangle,  $V(T) = \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ , and  $V(F) \cap V(T) = \emptyset$ . Let  $G = (F - x) \cup T \cup \{x_i t_i : i \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ . We say that  $G$  is obtained from  $F$  by replacing a vertex  $x$  by a triangle. Let  $F^\Delta$  denote the graph obtained from a cubic graph  $F$  by replacing each vertex of  $F$  by a triangle. Obviously  $F^\Delta$  is claw-free, every vertex belongs to exactly one triangle, and every edge belongs to at most one triangle in  $F^\Delta$ .

**2.1** Let  $G'$  be a cubic 2-connected graph and  $G$  be the graph obtained from  $G'$  by replacing each vertex  $v$  of  $G'$  by a triangle  $\Delta_v$ . Let  $L$  be a 3-vertex path in  $G$ . Then

(a)  $G - L$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

Moreover,

(a1) if  $L$  induces a triangle in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor  $R$  containing  $L$  and such that each component of  $R$  induces a triangle

(a2) if  $L$  does not induce a triangle in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor  $R$  containing  $L$  and such that no component of  $R$  induces a triangle, and

(a3) if  $L$  does not induce a triangle in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor, containing  $L$  and a component that induces a triangle.

**Proof** Let  $L = xzz_1$ . Let  $E'$  be the set of edges in  $G$  that belong to no triangle. Obviously, there is a natural bijection  $\alpha : E(G') \rightarrow E'$ . Since each vertex of  $G$  belongs to exactly one triangle, we can assume that  $xz$  belongs to a triangle  $T = xzs$ .

(p1) Suppose that  $L$  induces a triangle in  $G$ , and so  $s = z_1$ . Obviously the union of all triangles in  $G$  contains a  $\Lambda$ -factor, say  $P$ , of  $G$  and  $L \subset P$ . Therefore claim (a1) is true.

(p2) Now suppose that  $L$  does not induce a triangle in  $G$ , and so  $s \neq z_1$ . Let  $\bar{s} = ss_1$  and  $\bar{z} = zz_1$  be the edges of  $G$  not belonging to  $T$ , and therefore belonging to no triangles in  $G$ . Hence  $\bar{s} = \alpha(\bar{s}')$  and  $\bar{z} = \alpha(\bar{z}')$ , where  $\bar{s}' = s's'_1$  and  $\bar{z}' = z'z'_1$  are edges in  $G'$ , and  $s' = z'$ . Since every vertex in  $G$  belongs to exactly one triangle, clearly  $s_1 \neq z_1$ .

(p2.1) We prove (a2). By using Tutte's criterion for a graph to have a perfect matching, it is easy to prove the following:

**CLAIM.** If  $A$  is a cubic 2-connected graph, then for every 3-vertex path  $J$  of  $A$  there exists a 2-factor of  $A$  containing  $J$ .

By the above CLAIM,  $G'$  has a 2-factor  $F'$  containing 3-vertex path  $S' = s'_1 s' z'_1$ . Let  $C'$  be the (cycle) component of  $F'$  containing  $S'$ . If  $Q'$  is a (cycle) component of  $F'$ , then let  $Q$  be the subgraph of  $G$ , induced by the edge subset  $\{\alpha(e) : e \in E(Q')\} \cup \{E(\Delta_v) : v \in V(Q')\}$ . Obviously  $v(Q) = 0 \pmod 3$  and  $Q$  has a (unique) Hamiltonian cycle  $H(Q)$ . Also the union  $F$  of all  $Q$ 's is a spanning subgraph of  $G$  and each  $Q$  is a component of  $F$ . Moreover, if  $C$  is the component in  $F$ , corresponding to  $C'$ , then  $L \subset H(C)$ . Therefore each  $H(Q)$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor  $P(Q)$ , such that no component of  $P(Q)$  induces a triangle, and  $H(C)$  has a (unique)  $\Lambda$ -factor  $P(C)$ , such that  $L \subset P(C)$  and no component of  $P(C)$  induces a triangle. The union of all these  $\Lambda$ -factors is a  $\Lambda$ -factor  $P$  of  $G$  containing  $L$  and such that no component of  $P$  induces a triangle. Therefore (a2) holds.

(**p2.2**) Now we prove (a3). Since  $G'$  is 2-connected and cubic, there is a cycle  $C'$  in  $G'$  such that  $V(C') \neq V(G')$  and  $C'$  contains  $S' = s'_1 s' z'_1$ . Let, as above,  $C$  be the subgraph of  $G$ , induced by the edge subset  $\{\alpha(e) : e \in E(C')\} \cup \{E(\Delta_v) : v \in V(C')\}$ . Obviously,  $v(C) = 0 \pmod 3$ ,  $C$  has a (unique) Hamiltonian cycle  $H$ , and  $L \subset H$ . Therefore  $H$  has a (unique)  $\Lambda$ -factor  $P(C)$  containing  $L$ . Since  $V(C') \neq V(G')$ , we have  $V(G' - C') \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $G - C$  has a triangle. Moreover, every vertex  $v$  in  $G - C$  belongs to a unique triangle  $\Delta_v$ , and therefore as in (**p1**),  $G - C$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor  $Q$  whose every component induces a triangle in  $G - C$ . Then  $P(C) \cup Q$  is a required a  $\Lambda$ -factor in  $G$ .

Theorem **2.1** is not true for a cubic, 2-connected, and claw-free graph  $F$  with an edge  $xy$  belonging to two triangles  $T_i$  with  $V(T_i) = \{x, y, z_i\}$  because  $L = z_1 x z_2$  is a 3-vertex path in  $F$  and  $y$  is an isolated vertex in  $F - L$ .

**2.2** Suppose that  $G$  is a 2-connected claw-free graph,  $v(G) = 2 \pmod 3$ , and  $x \in V(G)$ . Then there exist at least two edges  $xz_1$  and  $xz_2$  in  $G$  such that each  $G - xz_i$  is connected and has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

**Proof** (uses **1.9**). We need the following simple facts.

CLAIM 1. Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph and,  $x \in V(G)$ . Then there exist at least two edges  $xs_1$  and  $xs_2$  in  $G$  such that each  $G - xs_i$  is connected.

CLAIM 2. Let  $G$  be a claw-free graph,  $B$  is a 2-connected block of  $G$ , and  $x$  is a boundary vertex of  $B$ . Then  $B - x$  is either 2-connected or has exactly one edge.

By CLAIM 1,  $G$  has an edge  $xy$  such that  $G - \{x, y\}$  is connected. If  $G - \{x, s\}$  for every  $xs \in E(G)$ , then by CLAIM 1, we are done. Therefore we assume that  $G - \{x, y\}$  is connected but has no  $\Lambda$ -factor.

Then by **1.9**,  $G - \{x, y\}$  has at least three end-blocks, say  $B_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $b'_i$  be the boundary vertex of  $B_i$ . Let  $V_i$  be the set of vertices in  $\{x, y\}$  adjacent to the interior of  $B_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}_v$  be the set of the end-blocks in  $G - \{x, y\}$  whose interior is adjacent to  $v \in \{x, y\}$ . Since  $G$  is 2-connected, each  $|V_i| \geq 1$ . Since  $G$  is claw-free, each  $|\mathcal{B}_v| \leq 2$ . Since  $k \geq 3$ ,  $|\mathcal{B}_v| = 2$  for some  $v \in \{x, y\}$ , say for  $v = x$  and  $\mathcal{B}_x = \{B_1, B_2\}$ . Let  $xb_i \in E(G)$ , where  $b_i$  is an interior vertex of  $B_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , and let  $xb_j \in E(G)$ , where  $b_j$  is an interior vertex of  $B_j$ ,  $j \geq 3$ . Since  $G$  is claw-free,  $\{x, y, b_1, b_2\}$  does not induce a claw in  $G$ . Therefore  $yb_2 \in E(G)$ . If  $k \geq 4$ , then  $\{y, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$  induces a claw in  $G$ , a contradiction. Thus  $k = 3$  and  $\mathcal{B}_y = \{B_2, B_3\}$ .

Suppose that  $v(B_s) = 0 \pmod 3$  for some  $s \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then  $B_s$  is 2-connected. Since  $B_s$  is claw-free, by **1.9**,  $B_s$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor, say  $P$ . Since  $v(G) = 2 \pmod 3$ , we have  $v(G - \{x, y, B_s\}) = 0 \pmod 3$ . By CLAIM 2,  $G - \{x, y, B_s\}$  is claw-free, connected and has at most two end-blocks. Then by **1.9**,  $G - \{x, y, B_s\}$  has  $\Lambda$ -factor, say  $Q$ . Therefore  $P \cup Q$  is a  $\Lambda$ -factor of  $G - \{x, y\}$ , a contradiction.

Suppose that  $v(B_r) = 1 \pmod 3$  for some  $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then  $B_r$  is 2-connected. Obviously  $v(B_r - b'_r) = 0 \pmod 3$  and claw-free. By CLAIM 2,  $B_r - b'_r$  is 2-connected. Then by **1.9**,  $B_r$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor, say  $P$ . Obviously  $G - \{x, y, B_r - b'_r\}$  is claw-free, connected and has at

most two end-blocks. Then by **1.9**,  $G - \{x, y, B_s\}$  has  $\Lambda$ -factor, say  $Q$ . Therefore  $P \cup Q$  is a  $\Lambda$ -factor of  $G - \{x, y\}$ , a contradiction.

Now suppose that  $v(B_i) = 2 \pmod 3$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . By CLAIM 2, either  $B_i - \{b_i, b'_i\}$  is 2-connected or  $v(B_i - \{b_i, b'_i\}) = 0$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . In both cases by the arguments similar to that above,  $G - \{x, b_i\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $G - \{y, b_i\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ .

From **2.2** we have for 3-connected claw-free graphs the following stronger result with a simpler proof.

**2.3** *Suppose that  $G$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph,  $v(G) = 2 \pmod 3$ , and  $xy \in E(G)$ . Then  $G - \{x, y\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.*

**Proof** (uses **1.9**). Let  $G' = G - \{x, y\}$ . Since  $G$  is 3-connected,  $G'$  is connected. By **1.9**, it suffices to prove that  $G'$  has at most two end-blocks. Suppose, not. Let  $B_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , be some three blocks of  $G'$ . Since  $G$  is 3-connected, for every block  $B_i$  and every vertex  $v \in \{x, y\}$  there is an edge  $vb_i$ , where  $b_i$  is an inner vertex of  $B_i$ . Then  $\{v, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$  induces a claw in  $G$ , a contradiction.

As we have seen in the proof of **2.2**, the claim of **2.3** is not true for claw-free graphs of connectivity two.

**2.4** *Suppose that  $G$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph,  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 3$ , and  $xy \in E(G)$ . Then there exist at least two 3-vertex paths  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  in  $G$  centered at  $y$ , containing  $xy$ , and such that each  $G - L_i$  is connected and has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.*

**Proof** (uses **1.9**). We need the following simple fact.

CLAIM 1. *Let  $G$  be a 3-connected graph,  $x \in V(G)$ , and  $xy \in E(G)$ . Then there exist two 3-vertex paths  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  in  $G$  centered at  $y$ , containing  $xy$ , and such that each  $G - L_i$  is connected.*

By CLAIM 1,  $G$  has a 3-vertex path  $L = xyz$  such that  $G - L$  is connected. If every such 3-vertex path belongs to a  $\Lambda$ -factor of  $G$ , then by CLAIM 1, we are done. Therefore we assume that  $G - L$  is connected but has no  $\Lambda$ -factor. Then by **1.9**,  $G - L$  has at least three end-blocks, say  $B_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $b'_i$  be the boundary vertex of  $B_i$ . Let  $V_i$  be the set of vertices in  $L$  adjacent to inner vertices of  $B_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}_v$  be the set of the end-blocks in  $G - L$  having an inner vertex adjacent to  $v$  in  $V(L)$ . Since  $G$  is 3-connected, each  $|V_i| \geq 2$ . Since  $G$  is claw-free, each  $|\mathcal{B}_v| \leq 2$ . It follows that  $k = 3$ , each  $|V_i| = 2$ , each  $|\mathcal{B}_v| = 2$ , as well as all  $V_i$ 's are different and all  $\mathcal{B}_v$ 's are different. Let  $s^1 = z$ ,  $s^2 = x$ ,  $s^3 = y$ , and  $S = \{s^1, s^2, s^3\}$ . We can assume that  $V_i = S - s^i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then for every vertex  $s^j \in V_i$  there is has a vertex  $b_i^j$  in  $B_i - b'_i$  adjacent to  $s^j$ , where  $\{b_i^j : s^j \in V_i\}$  has exactly one vertex if and only if  $B_i - b'_i$  has exactly one vertex. Let  $L_i = s^2 s^3 b_i$ , where  $b_i = b_i^3$ .

By **1.9**, it suffices to show that each  $G - L_i$  is connected and has at most two end-blocks.

Let  $i = 1$ . If  $B_1 - b_1$  is 2-connected, then  $B_1 - b_1$  and  $G - L_1 - (B_1 - b'_1)$  are the two end-blocks of  $G - L_1$  and we are done. If  $B_1 - b_1$  is empty, then  $G - L_1$  is 2-connected. So



we assume that  $B_1 - b_1$  is not empty and not 2-connected. Then  $B_1 - b_1$  is connected and has exactly two end-blocks, say  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Let  $c'_i$  be the boundary vertex of  $C_i$  in  $B_1 - b_1$ . Since  $G$  is 3-connected, each  $C_i - c'_i$  has a vertex adjacent to  $\{s^2, s^3\}$ . We can assume that a vertex  $c_1$  in  $C_1 - c'_1$  is adjacent to  $s^2$ . If there exists a vertex  $c_2$  in  $C_2 - c'_2$  adjacent to  $s^2$ , then  $\{s^2, b_3^2, c_1, c_2\}$  induces a claw in  $G$ , a contradiction. So suppose that no vertex in  $C_2 - c'_2$  is adjacent to  $s^2$ . Then there is a vertex  $c_2$  in  $C_2 - c'_2$  adjacent to  $s^3$ . Then  $\{s^2, s^3, b_3^2, c_2\}$  induces a claw in  $G$ , a contradiction.

Now let  $i = 2$ . If  $B_2 - b_2$  is 2-connected, then  $B_1$  and  $G - L_2 - (B_1 - b'_1)$  are the two end-blocks of  $G - L_2$  and we are done. If  $B_1 - b_1$  is empty, then  $G - L_2$  has two end-blocks, namely  $B_1$  and the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $B_3 \cup s^1$ . So we assume that  $B_2 - b_2$  is not empty and not 2-connected. Then  $B_2 - b_2$  is connected and has exactly two end-blocks, say  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . Let  $d'_i$  be the boundary vertex of  $D_i$  in  $B_2 - b_2$ . Since  $G$  is 3-connected, each  $D_i - d'_i$  has a vertex adjacent to  $\{s^1, s^3\}$ . We can assume that a vertex  $d_1$  in  $D_1 - d'_1$  is adjacent to  $s^3$ . If there exists a vertex  $d_2$  in  $D_2 - d'_2$  adjacent to  $s^3$ , then  $\{s^3, d_1, d_2, b_1^3\}$  induces a claw in  $G$ , a contradiction. So suppose that no vertex in  $D_2 - d'_2$  is adjacent to  $s^3$ . Then there is a vertex  $d_2$  in  $D_2 - d'_2$  adjacent to  $s^1$ . Then  $\{s^1, s^3, b_3^1, d_2\}$  induces a claw in  $G$ , a contradiction.

From the proof of **2.4** we have, in particular:

**2.5** *Suppose that  $G$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph. If  $L$  is a 3-vertex path and the center vertex of  $L$  has degree 3 in  $G$ , then  $G - L$  is connected and has a  $\Lambda$ -factor in  $G$ .*

Obviously, **2.1** (a) follows from **2.5**.

From the proof of **2.4** we also have:

**2.6** *Suppose that  $G$  is a 4-connected claw-free graph. Then  $G - L$  is connected and has a  $\Lambda$ -factor for every 3-vertex path  $L$  in  $G$ .*

The claim of **2.6** may not be true for a claw-free graph of connectivity 3 if they are not cubic. A graph obtained from a claw by replacing its vertex of degree 3 by a triangle is called a *net*. Let  $N$  be a net with the three leaves  $v_1, v_2$ , and  $v_3$ ,  $T$  a triangle with  $V(T) = \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ , and let  $N$  and  $T$  be disjoint. Let  $H = N \cup T \cup \{v_i t_j : i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j\}$ . Then  $H$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph,  $v(H) = 9$ , each  $d(t_i, H) = 4$ ,  $d(x, H) = 3$  for every  $x \in V(H - T)$ , and  $H - T = N$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor. If  $L$  is a 3-vertex path in  $T$ , then  $H - L = H - T$ , and so  $H - L$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor. There are infinitely many pairs  $(G, L)$  such that  $G$  is a 3-connected, claw-free, and non-cubic graph,  $v(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,  $L$  is a 3-vertex path in  $G$ , and  $G - L$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor. By **2.9**, such a pair can be obtained from the above pair  $(H, L)$  by replacing  $N$  by any graph  $A$  with three leaves from the class  $\mathcal{A}$  (defined below before **2.9**) provided  $v(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

From **2.4** we have, in particular:

**2.7** *Suppose that  $G$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph and  $e \in E(G)$ . Then*

- (a1) *there exists a  $\Lambda$ -factor in  $G$  containing  $e$  and*  
 (a2) *there exists a  $\Lambda$ -factor in  $G$  avoiding  $e$ , i.e.  $G - e$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.*

The following examples show that condition “ $G$  is a 3-connected graph” in **2.7** is essential for claim (a1). Let  $R$  be the graph obtained from two disjoint cycles  $A$  and  $B$  by adding a new vertex  $z$ , and the set of new edges  $\{a_i z, b_i z : i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ , where  $a = a_1 a_2 \in E(A)$  and  $b = b_1 b_2 \in E(B)$ . It is easy to see that  $Q$  is a claw-free graph of connectivity one. Furthermore, if  $v(A) = 1 \pmod 3$  and  $v(B) = 1 \pmod 3$ , then  $v(Q) = 0 \pmod 3$  and  $Q$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor containing edge  $e \in \{a, b\}$ . Similarly, let  $Q$  be the graph obtained from two disjoint cycles  $A$  and  $B$  by adding two new vertices  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , a new edge  $e = z_1 z_2$ , and the set of new edges  $\{a_i z_j, b_i z_j : i, j \in \{1, 2\}\}$ , where  $a_1 a_2 \in E(A)$  and  $b_1 b_2 \in E(B)$ . It is easy to see that  $Q$  is a claw-free graph of connectivity two. Furthermore, if  $v(A) = 2 \pmod 3$  and  $v(B) = 2 \pmod 3$ , then  $v(Q) = 0 \pmod 3$  and  $Q$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor containing edge  $e$ .

As to claim (a2) in **2.7**, it turns out that this claim is also true for 2-connected claw-free graphs.

**2.8** *Suppose that  $G$  is a 2-connected claw-free graph,  $v(G) = 0 \pmod 3$ , and  $e \in E(G)$ . Then  $G - e$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.*

**Proof** A graph  $H$  is called *minimal 2-connected* if  $H$  is 2-connected but  $H - u$  is not 2-connected for every  $u \in E(H)$ . A *frame* of a graph  $G$  is a minimal 2-connected spanning subgraph of  $G$ . Clearly every 2-connected graph has a frame. In [4] we describe Procedure 1 that provides an ear-assembly  $A$  of a special frame of a 2-connected claw-free graph. In particular, the last ear of  $A$  contains a  $\Lambda$ -packing  $P$  such that  $G - P$  is also 2-connected claw-free graph. We modify Procedure 1 by replacing the first step of this procedure “*Find a longest cycle  $G_0$  in  $G$* ” by “*Find a longest cycle  $G'_0$  among all cycles  $C$  in  $G$  such that edge  $e$  either belongs to  $C$  or is a chord of  $C$* ”. Since  $G$  is 2-connected,  $G$  has a cycle containing  $e$ . Therefore a cycle  $G'_0$  does exist. Then the resulting Procedure  $\mathcal{P}$  provides an ear-assembly of a frame of  $G$  with the property that the last ear of this frame has a  $\Lambda$ -packing  $Q$  such that  $e \notin E(Q)$  and  $G - Q$  is a 2-connected claw-free graph that may contain  $e$ .

We can use Procedure  $\mathcal{P}$  to prove our claim by induction on  $v(G)$ . If  $G$  is a cycle containing  $e$ , then our claim is obviously true. Procedure  $\mathcal{P}$  mentioned above guarantees the existence of a  $\Lambda$ -packing  $Q$  such that  $Q$  avoids  $e$  and  $G - Q$  is a 2-connected claw-free graph that may contain  $e$ . Obviously  $v(G - Q) = 0 \pmod 3$  and  $v(G - Q) < v(G)$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $G - Q$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor  $R$  avoiding  $e$ . Then  $Q \cup R$  is a  $\Lambda$ -factor of  $G$  avoiding edge  $e$ .

We need the following fact interesting in itself. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the set of graphs  $A$  with the following properties:

- (c1)  $A$  is connected,  
 (c2) every vertex in  $A$  has degree at most 3,  
 (c3) every vertex in  $A$  of degree 2 or 3 belongs to exactly one triangle, and  
 (c4)  $A$  has exactly three vertices of degree 1 which we call the *leaves* of  $A$ .

**2.9** *If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor.*

**Proof** Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $v(A) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then our claim is clearly true. So we assume that  $v(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . We prove our claim by induction on  $v(G)$ . The smallest graph in  $\mathcal{A}$  is a net  $N$  with  $v(N) = 6$  and our claim is obviously true for  $N$ . So let  $v(A) \geq 9$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that  $A$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor  $P$ . Let  $v$  be a leaf of  $A$  and  $vx$  the edge incident to  $v$ . Since  $P$  is a  $\Lambda$ -factor in  $A$ , it has a component  $L = vxy$ , and so  $P - L$  is a  $\Lambda$ -factor in  $A - L$  and  $d(x, A) \geq 2$ . By property (c3),  $x$  belongs to a unique triangle  $xyz$  in  $A$  and  $d(x, a) = 3$ , and so  $s \in \{y, z\}$ . If  $d(z, A) = 2$ , then  $z$  is an isolated vertex in  $A - L$ , and so  $P$  is not a  $\Lambda$ -factor in  $A$ , a contradiction. Therefore by (c2),  $d(z, A) = 3$ . Therefore  $A - L$  satisfies (c2), (c3), and (c4).

Suppose that  $G - L$  is not connected and that the three leaves do not belong to a common component. Then  $A - L$  has a component  $C$  with  $v(C) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , and so  $A - L$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor, a contradiction.

Now suppose that  $A - L$  has a component  $C$  containing all three leaves of  $A - L$ . Then  $C \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $v(C) < v(A)$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $C$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor. Therefore  $A - L$  also has no  $\Lambda$ -factor, a contradiction.

Given  $E \subseteq E(G)$ , let  $\dot{E}$  denote the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $E$ .

**2.10** *Suppose that  $G$  is a cubic 2-connected graph and that every vertex in  $G$  belongs to exactly one triangle (and so  $G$  is claw-free), i.e.  $G = F^\Delta$ , where  $F$  is a cubic 2-connected graph. Let  $E \subset E(G)$  and  $|E| = 3$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(g)  $G - E$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor and

(e)  $\dot{E}$  satisfies one of the following conditions:

(e1)  $\dot{E}$  is a claw,

(e2)  $\dot{E}$  is a triangle,

(e3)  $\dot{E}$  has exactly two components, the 2-edge component  $\dot{E}_2$  belongs to a triangle in  $G$ , the 1-edge component  $\dot{E}_1$  belongs to no triangle in  $G$ , and  $G - E$  is not connected, and

(e4)  $\dot{E}$  has exactly two components, in  $G$  the 2-edge component  $\dot{E}_2$  belongs to a triangle, say  $T$ , the 1-edge component  $\dot{E}_1$  also belongs to a triangle, say  $D$ , and  $\dot{E}_1, \dot{E}_2$  belong to different component of  $G - \{d, t\}$ , where  $d$  is the edge incident to the vertex of  $D - \dot{E}_1$  and  $t$  is the edge in  $G - E$  incident to the isolated vertex of  $T - E$ .

**Proof** (uses **1.9**, **2.1(a)**, and **2.9**). Let  $X, Y \subset E(G)$  such that  $X$  meets no triangle in  $G$ , each edge in  $Y$  belongs to a triangle in  $G$ , and no triangle in  $G$  has more than one edge from  $Y$ , and so  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ . We will use the following simple observation.

CLAIM.  $G - X - Y$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor  $P$  such that every component of  $P$  induces a triangle in  $G$  and if an edge  $y$  from  $Y$  is in a triangle  $T$ , then  $T - y$  is a component of  $P$ .

Let  $E = \{a, b, c\}$ . By the above CLAIM, we can assume that edges  $a$  and  $b$  belong to the same triangle  $T$ .

(p1) We prove (e)  $\Rightarrow$  (g).

Suppose that  $\dot{E}$  satisfies (e1), i.e.  $\dot{E}$  is a claw. Then  $G - E$  has an isolated vertex and therefore has no  $\Lambda$ -factor.

Suppose that  $\dot{E}$  satisfies (e2), i.e.  $\dot{E}$  is a triangle. Then  $G - E \in \mathcal{A}$ . By **2.9**,  $G - E$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor.

Suppose that  $\dot{E}$  satisfies (e3), i.e.  $G - E$  is not connected and  $\dot{E}$  has exactly two components  $\dot{E}_2$  and  $\dot{E}_1$  induced by  $\{a, b\}$  and  $c$ , respectively, where  $\dot{E}_2$  belongs to the triangle  $T$  but  $\dot{E}_1$  belongs to no triangle in  $G$ . Then  $t$  is the dangling edge in  $G - E$ . Let  $S$  be the component in  $G - E$  containing edge  $t$ . Then every vertex in  $S$  distinct from the leaf incident to  $t$  belongs to exactly one triangle. Therefore  $v(S) = 1 \pmod 3$ . Thus  $G - E$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor.

Now suppose that  $\dot{E}$  satisfies (e4). By (e4),  $t$  is the edge in  $G - E$  incident to  $z$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that  $G - E$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor, say  $P$ . Since  $G - \{d, t\}$  is not connected,  $G - E - E(D)$  is also not connected. Obviously the component of  $G - E - E(D)$  containing  $z$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore by **2.9**,  $G - E - E(D)$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor. Thus  $P$  has a 3-vertex path  $L$  containing exactly one edge in  $D$  adjacent to edge  $d$ . Now if  $C$  is a component of  $G - E - L$ , then  $v(C) \neq 0 \pmod 3$ . Therefore  $G - E$  has no  $\Lambda$ -factor, a contradiction.

**(p2)** Now we prove (g)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). Namely, we assume that  $\dot{E}$  does not satisfy (e) and we want to show that in this case  $G - E$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor. Let  $u$  be the edge of  $T$  distinct from  $a$  and  $b$ .

Suppose that  $\dot{E}$  is connected, and so  $\dot{E}$  is a 3-edge path. Let  $V$  be a 3-vertex path in  $G$  containing  $u$  and avoiding  $E$ . Then  $G - V$  has no edges from  $E$ , and so  $G - V = G - E - V$ . By **2.1(a)**,  $G - V$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

Now suppose that  $\dot{E}$  is not connected, and so  $\dot{E}$  has exactly two components induced by  $\{a, b\}$  and by  $c$ , respectively. Since  $\dot{E}$  does not satisfy (e),  $\dot{E}$  is not a claw and not a triangle, and so  $u, t \notin E$ .

**(p2.1)** Suppose that  $c$  belongs to no triangle in  $G$ . Since  $\dot{E}$  does not satisfy (e),  $G - E$  is connected. Clearly,  $G - E$  is claw-free. Also  $G - E$  has exactly two end-blocks and the block of one edge  $t$  is one of them. By **1.9**,  $G - E$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

**(p2.2)** Now suppose that  $c$  belongs to a triangle  $D$  in  $G$ . Then  $D \neq T$ . Let  $G' = G - D - \{a, b\}$ . Then  $G'$  is claw-free and has no edges from  $E$ .

Suppose that  $G'$  is connected. Then as in **(p2.1)**,  $G'$  has exactly two end-blocks. By **1.9**,  $G'$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor, say  $P$ . Let  $L = D - c$ , and so  $L$  is a 3-vertex path. Then  $P \cup \{L\}$  is a  $\Lambda$ -factor in  $G - E$ .

Now suppose that  $G'$  is not connected. Let  $C$  be the component of  $G'$  containing edge  $t$  and  $q$  the edge connecting  $C$  and  $D$ . Let  $L$  be a 3-vertex path in  $G$  containing  $q$  and an edge in  $D - c$ . It is sufficient to show that  $G - E - L$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor. Obviously  $G - E - L$  is claw-free. Let  $Q$  be a component of  $G - E - L$ . Since  $\dot{E}$  does not satisfy (e4),  $v(Q) = 0 \pmod 3$  and  $Q$  has exactly two end-blocks. By **1.9**,  $Q$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor. Therefore  $G - E - L$  also has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

From **2.10** we have, in particular:

**2.11** Suppose that  $G = F^\Delta$ , where  $F$  is a cubic 2-connected graph (and so  $G$  is claw-free). Let  $E \subset E(G)$  and  $|E| = 2$ . Then  $G - E$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

From **2.10** we also have:

**2.12** Suppose that  $G$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph. Let  $E \subset E(G)$  and  $|E| = 3$ . Then  $G - E$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor if and only if  $E$  is not a claw and not a triangle.

**2.13** Suppose that  $G$  is a 2-connected claw-free graph,  $v(G) = 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $x \in V(G)$ . Then  $G - x$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

**Proof** (uses **1.9**). Let  $x \in V(G)$ . Since  $v(G) = 1 \pmod{3}$ , clearly  $v(G - x) = 0 \pmod{3}$ . Since  $G$  is 2-connected,  $G - x$  is connected. Since  $G$  is claw-free,  $G - x$  is claw-free and has at most two end-blocks. By **1.9**,  $G - x$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

**2.14** Suppose that  $G$  is a 3-connected claw-free graph,  $v(G) = 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $x \in V(G)$  and  $e \in E(G)$ . Then  $G - \{x, e\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

**Proof** (uses **2.8** and **2.13**). Since  $G$  is 3-connected,  $G - x$  is a 2-connected claw-free graph. Since  $v(G) = 1 \pmod{3}$ , we have  $v(G - x) = 0 \pmod{3}$ . By **2.13**,  $G - x$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor  $P$ . If  $e \notin E(G - x)$ , then  $P$  is a  $\Lambda$ -factor of  $G - \{x, e\}$ . If  $e \in E(G - x)$ , then by **2.8**,  $G - \{x, e\}$  has a  $\Lambda$ -factor.

Obviously, the claim in **2.14** may not be true for a claw-free graph of connectivity 2.

From **2.1**, **2.3**, **2.7**, **2.11**, **2.13**, and **2.14** we have in particular:

**2.15** All claims in **1.8** except for (z5) are true for 3-connected claw-free graphs and (z5) is true for cubic, 2-connected, and claw-free graphs distinct from  $K_4$ .

## References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, MacMillan Co., New York, 1976.
- [2] R. Deistel, *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [3] P. Hell and D. Kirkpatrick, Packing by complete bipartite graphs, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Math.* **7** (1986), 199–209.
- [4] A. Kaneko, A. Kelmans, T. Nishimura, On packing 3-vertex paths in a graph, *J. Graph Theory* **36** (2001) 175–197.
- [5] A. Kelmans, Packing  $k$ -edge trees in graphs of restricted degrees, *J. Graph Theory* **55** (2007) 306–324 (see also *DIMACS Research Report 2000–44*, Rutgers University (2000)).

- [6] A. Kelmans, Packing a  $k$ -path in a cubic graph is NP-hard for  $k \geq 3$ , manuscript, 2001; presented at the DIMACS conference “Graph Partition”, July 2000.
- [7] A. Kelmans,  $\Lambda$ -packings in connected cubic graphs, manuscript, 2002; presented at the 34th Southeastern International Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, March, 2003.
- [8] A. Kelmans, On  $\Lambda$ -packings in 2-connected graphs, *RUTCOR Research Report 21-2005*, Rutgers University (2005).
- [9] A. Kelmans, On  $\Lambda$ -packings in 3-connected graphs, *RUTCOR Research Report 23-2005*, Rutgers University (2005).
- [10] A. Kelmans and D. Mubayi, How many disjoint 2-edge paths must a cubic graph have? *Journal of Graph Theory*, **45** (2004) 57–79 (see also *DIMACS Research Report 2000-23*, Rutgers University (2000)).
- [11] D. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice Hall, 2001.