MATH 354:03 LINEAR OPTIMIZATION, SPRING 2012 HANDOUT #1

©2012 Emre Yamangil

Goal: In this handout we will go over the underlying algebra of simplex method, basically what we covered in the last 2 weeks of our class.

Tools: Linear algebra, matrix multiplication.

Ok, let's start with our STANDARD form LP.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\max & c^T x \\
\text{s.t.} & Ax &= b \\
& x &> 0
\end{array} \tag{1}$$

where $A: m \times n, b: m \times 1, c: n \times 1$ and $\operatorname{rank}(A) = m$. Let us further assume $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | Ax = b, x \ge 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Which implies the polyhedron $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$ has at least one extreme point (why? 1 points). Let's $x = \begin{pmatrix} B^{-1}b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be the BFS corresponding to this extreme point (after possible re-arranging of the columns of A), where B is the basis corresponding to x. Therefore we use the following decomposition of $A = [B, N], c^T = [c^T_B, c^T_N]$ and $x^T = (x^T_B, x^T_N)$ (let's remember x_B is called the set of basic variables and x_N is called the set of non-basic variables). Let's re-write the problem:

$$\max \begin{array}{c} c_B^T x_B + c_N^T x_N \\ \text{s.t.} \quad B x_B + N x_N &= b \\ x_B &\geq 0 \\ x_N &\geq 0 \end{array}$$

$$(2)$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \max & c_B^T x_B & + c_N^T x_N \\ \text{s.t.} & x_B & + B^{-1} N x_N & = & B^{-1} b \\ & x_B & & \geq & 0 \\ & & & x_N & \geq & 0. \end{array}$$

$$(3)$$

Let's remember we are doing Gaussian elimination when we multiply the set of equations with B^{-1} . As Gaussian elimination preserves the solutions to a system of linear equations (row equivalence), (2) and (3) are equivalent. As we are interested in the set of feasible solutions to the problem, we must have $x_B = B^{-1}b - B^{-1}Nx_N$ for all feasible solutions. Therefore we can substitute x_B in the objective with $B^{-1}b - B^{-1}Nx_N$:

$$\max_{\substack{x_B \\ x_B \\ x_N \\ x_N$$

Let's re-arrange the messy objective above,

$$\max \begin{array}{c} c_{B}^{T}B^{-1}b & -(c_{B}^{T}B^{-1}N - c_{N}^{T})x_{N} \\ \text{s.t.} & x_{B} & +B^{-1}Nx_{N} &= B^{-1}b \\ & x_{B} & \geq 0 \\ & & x_{N} & \geq 0. \end{array}$$
(5)

We call $c_B^T B^{-1} N - c_N^T$ the vector of reduced costs associated to non-basic variables. Now we reduced the problem into a form, where optimality is easy observed by the following argument:

KEY TO SIMPLEX: If $c_B^T B^{-1} N - c_N^T \ge 0$ (namely **the reduced costs** are nonnegative) then the current BFS $x = \begin{pmatrix} B^{-1}b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is optimal.

proof. Indeed. As $\{Ax = b\} = \{x_B + B^{-1}Nx_N = B^{-1}b\}$ (because B is a basis, it's inverse exists and it is a Gaussian elimination operator), if $c_B^T B^{-1}N - c_N^T \ge 0$ then $(c_B^T B^{-1}N - c_N^T)x_N \ge 0$ therefore any other feasible solution has objective at most $c^T x = c_B^T x_B + c_N^T x_N = c_B^T B^{-1}b + c_N^T 0 = c_B^T B^{-1}b$ (which is the objective value of current BFS $x = \begin{pmatrix} B^{-1}b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$).

Let's use the following notation, let $A = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ denote the columns of $A, \bar{b} = B^{-1}b$ and J the set of non-basic indices (The column indices corresponding to N). Also let $y_j = B^{-1}a_j$, $z_j = c_B^T B^{-1}b = c_B^T y_j$ for $j \in J$. Then (5) reduces to the following,

$$\max \begin{array}{cccc} c_B^T B^{-1} b & -\sum_{j \in J} (z_j - c_j) x_j \\ \text{s.t.} & x_B & +\sum_{j \in J} y_j x_j &= \bar{b} \\ & x_B & & \geq 0 \\ & & x_j &\geq 0, \quad j \in J. \end{array}$$
(6)

Let $x_B^T = (x_{B_1}, x_{B_2}, \dots, x_{B_m})$ then we can re-write (6) as follows:

$$\max \begin{array}{ccc} c_B^T B^{-1} b & -\sum_{j \in J} (z_j - c_j) x_j \\ \text{s.t.} & x_{B_i} & +\sum_{j \in J} y_j x_j &= \bar{b}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & x_B & \geq 0 \\ & & x_j &\geq 0, \quad j \in J. \end{array}$$

$$(7)$$

Therefore we can define the simplex iteration easily. Let $k \in J$ be an index s.t. $z_k - c_k < 0$. Obviously we can increase x_k as much as possible to profit from the situation (we keep all other non-basic variables at 0, and increase x_k). However how much should we increase x_k ?

Let $y_{ik} > 0$, we can re-write *i*th row of our problem as follows,

3

$$x_{B_i} + y_{ik}x_k = b_i$$

As $y_{ik} > 0$, $x_k \ge 0$ and $\bar{b}_i \ge 0$, we can increase x_k to at most $\frac{\bar{b}_i}{y_{ik}}$. Because at that point $x_{B_i} = 0$ (If $y_{ik} \le 0$ it is easy to see we can increase x_k as much as we want without violating the non-negativity of x_{B_i} , VERIFY IT!). However we want the non-negativity of all x_B . Clearly implying we should select $x_k = \frac{\bar{b}_r}{y_{rk}} = \min_{1\le i\le m} \{\frac{\bar{b}_i}{y_{ik}} | y_{ik} > 0\} > 0$. Therefore $x_{B_r} = 0$ and $x_k = \frac{\bar{b}_r}{y_{rk}}$ defines a new BFS, we update the current basis by removing the column a_r replaced by a_k and continue with the next iteration of simplex.